OPEN ACCESS

**Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Med Pharm Sci
ISSN 2413-4929 (Print) | ISSN 2413-4910 (Online)
Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Journal homepage: https://saudijournals.com

**Review Article** **Medical Science**

# Cross-Cultural Dynamics in Healthcare Delivery: A Reflective Analysis of Clinical Training and Care in Doha, Qatar and Ottawa, Canada

Nada Ahmed Al-Mulla, MD[1,2,3]*

[1]Hamad General Hospital, Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar, Po Box 3050
[2]The Ottawa Hospital, Civic Campus, 1053 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, ON K1Y 1J8, Canada
[3]The Ottawa Hospital, General Campus, 501 Smyth Road, Ottawa, ON K1H 8L6, Canada

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.36348/sjmps.2026.v12i01.003 | **Received:** 22.11.2025 | **Accepted:** 13.01.2026 | **Published:** 15.01.2026

***Corresponding author:** Nada Ahmed Al-Mulla

## Abstract

Physician training provides a critical lens for examining the structural strengths, limitations, and ethical orientations of healthcare systems. This commentary reflects on internal medicine training within tertiary settings in Doha [Qatar] and Ottawa [Canada] analyzing how organizational design and sociocultural context influence continuity of care, supervisory accessibility, documentation practices, evidence integration, and equity. Drawing on first-hand clinical engagement, the discussion moves beyond descriptive comparison to interrogate institutional responsibilities toward trainees, particularly the proportionality of educational benefit relative to the financial, emotional, and logistical burdens of international training pathways. By centering trainee narratives, this work underscores experiential insight as foundational to meaningful health system reform. How physicians are trained ultimately shapes clinical competence, ethical integrity, and the humanism of care delivery.

**Keyword:** Comparative Health Systems, Tertiary Care Models, Organizational Ethnography, Continuity of Care, Supervisory Hierarchy, Documentation Burden.



## INTRODUCTION

Comparative analyses of healthcare systems often prioritize quantitative indicators such as accessibility, efficiency, and clinical outcomes. However, the experiential dimension of physician training, particularly how practitioners navigate structural and cultural complexities, remains underexplored. This reflective commentary interrogates the interplay between systemic design and professional practice within tertiary internal medicine settings in Doha [Qatar] and Ottawa [Canada] [1,2]. It examines how organizational architecture and sociocultural norms mediate continuity of care, clinical reasoning processes, supervisory dynamics, and physician wellbeing

The observations presented herein reflect selected comparative variables based on personal clinical experience within defined institutional contexts. They do not apply universally to other individuals within the same institutions or across broader national systems, nor do they purport to offer exhaustive generalizations. Rather, they foreground the contingent influence of cultural frameworks, regulatory environments, and workforce heterogeneity on bedside practice. Ethical domains such as end-of-life decision-making, which are deeply embedded in normative and legal paradigms, fall outside the scope of direct comparison. This commentary is intended as a reflective analysis and does not seek to criticize or undermine any healthcare systems; instead, it aims to elucidate how structural configurations shape patient trajectories and contribute to the formation of professional identity within distinct cultural milieus.

## DISCUSSION

### 1. Continuity of Care as a Pedagogical Instrument

Continuity of care emerged as a salient point of divergence between the two clinical environments. In Qatar, residents frequently maintained responsibility for patients from admission through discharge, cultivating a sustained sense of ownership and accountability. This longitudinal engagement enabled clinicians to trace disease trajectories, evaluate the downstream consequences of therapeutic decisions, and engage in iterative, reflective learning. Within this context, continuity functioned not merely as an operational model but as a pedagogical instrument, reinforcing diagnostic reasoning, clinical judgment, and the development of professional identity. Longitudinal engagement is well

described in the literature, which associates continuity with improved satisfaction, lower utilization, and even if observational, reduced mortality [3].

Conversely, in Canada, particularly within internal medicine rotations, care delivery was characterized by episodic encounters driven by high patient turnover. Residents often interacted with patients intermittently, with limited opportunity for follow-up [4]. While this structure afforded exposure to a broad spectrum of clinical presentations, it attenuated the sense of ownership and constrained opportunities for reflective practice. Such fragmentation, though operationally efficient, risked reducing patient care to a sequence of discrete tasks rather than a coherent clinical narrative, thereby diminishing its educational potential [5]. If we continue to train internists in primarily episodic systems, we will graduate clinicians fluent in checklists but less fluent in stories, the very medium of clinical reasoning [3,5].

## 2. Evidence, Autonomy, and the Practice of Medicine

Access to evidence-based and high-quality resources significantly shaped the experience and enactment of clinical autonomy within each system [7]. In Qatar, routine access to comprehensive clinical databases such as UpToDate, alongside locally adapted guidelines, enabled residents to ground clinical decision-making in current evidence and engage in informed, dialogic exchanges with supervising physicians [8]. Autonomy was therefore exercised within a structured epistemic scaffold, balancing independent judgment with supervisory oversight.

In contrast, the Ottawa setting was characterized by limited real-time access to evidence repositories, resulting in a reliance on prior documentation, interprofessional consensus, and subspecialty consultation. While collaborative practice constitutes a cornerstone of safe and effective care, the absence of readily accessible evidence constrained opportunities for independent critical appraisal. Autonomy, when conferred, exhibited a paradoxical pattern: tightly circumscribed during daytime service yet abruptly expanded during overnight coverage, producing sharp transitions in responsibility without commensurate support. This discontinuity underscores the tension between operational efficiency and the pedagogical imperative to cultivate graduated independence [9].

## 3. Documentation and the Hidden Curriculum

Documentation practices revealed a potent hidden curriculum that shaped professional priorities and cognitive habits [10]. In Qatar, documentation was characterized by flexibility and conciseness, privileging clinical relevance and diagnostic reasoning over exhaustive detail. Notes functioned primarily as communicative instruments, facilitating continuity and clarity rather than serving as defensive medico-legal artefacts. This approach implicitly reinforced the epistemic value of synthesis and interpretive judgment.

In Canada, documentation assumed a markedly different role, operating as a medico-legal safeguard and institutional record. Extensive problem lists, frequent replication through copy-and-paste, and heterogeneous template structures amplified cognitive load, particularly during cross-cover and nocturnal shifts. While the pursuit of thoroughness ostensibly aimed to enhance patient safety, it often obscured salient clinical issues within voluminous text. Residents absorbed, tacitly, that success within this system hinged less on diagnostic acumen than on mastery of documentation volume and compliance, thereby privileging procedural fluency over conceptual integration.

## 4. Supervision, Safety, and Ethical Tension

Supervisory frameworks reflected divergent interpretations of acceptable clinical risk and pedagogical responsibility. In Qatar, senior supervision was consistently available in-house, encompassing overnight coverage and subspecialty domains. This model facilitated graded autonomy, enabling residents to exercise independent judgment within a secure scaffold of expert oversight, thereby harmonizing educational objectives with patient safety imperatives.

Conversely, in Canada, nocturnal care frequently devolved to resident-led management with attending physicians and fellows off-site. Within subspecialty services, residents bore responsibility for substantial patient volumes, including admissions and discharge coordination, often in isolation. Supervisors engaged in overnight decision-making were routinely expected to participate in daytime rounds without restorative intervals, engendering ethical concerns regarding fatigue, cognitive performance, and the humane treatment of trainees [11]. In this context, autonomy appeared less as a deliberate educational construct and more as a pragmatic necessity imposed by workforce constraints, raising critical questions about the intersection of operational efficiency, learner wellbeing, and patient safety.

## 5. Feedback, Assessment, and Psychological Safety

Feedback culture exerted a profound influence on psychological safety and learning trajectories. In Qatar, feedback was typically consolidated at the end of a four-week rotation blocks, affording residents temporal space for reflection and incremental improvement. This approach fostered a sense of developmental continuity and mitigated performance anxiety. In Canada, feedback was delivered weekly, often by rotating supervisors, producing a high frequency of evaluative encounters. While immediacy is pedagogically valuable, its cumulative impact was seldom interrogated. The absence of supervisory continuity risked transforming feedback from a formative instrument into a

destabilizing experience, undermining learner confidence and cohesion [9].

Psychological safety extended beyond interpersonal dynamics to encompass environmental factors. In Ottawa, concerns regarding personal security during nocturnal travel compounded stress, illustrating how extrinsic variables, though ostensibly peripheral to clinical competence, exert significant influence on wellbeing and performance.

### 6. Equity, Identity, and Belonging in Training

Structural inequities were particularly salient for internationally funded residents [12]. In Ottawa, locally sponsored trainees frequently enjoyed greater latitude in scheduling and leave approvals, whereas international residents bore equivalent clinical responsibilities with diminished autonomy and recognition. Disparities in remuneration for extended hours and opacity in scheduling systems reinforced perceptions of marginalization. Conversely, in Qatar, although schedules were rigid, workload distribution and evaluative processes exhibited relative uniformity. Flexibility was constrained, yet equity and predictability cultivated a clearer sense of belonging. These observations underscore that fairness is perceived not solely through adaptability but through consistency and transparency.

### 7. Ethics of Care Across Cultural Contexts

End-of-life practices delineated the limits of direct comparison [13,14]. Qatar prohibits withdrawal of care and medical assistance in dying, privileging continuous family involvement as a normative expectation. Ottawa permits both interventions within a legal and ethical framework that foregrounds patient autonomy. These divergences reflect deeply embedded societal values and resist adjudication through a singular ethical lens. For clinicians, navigating such contrasts necessitates cultural humility and an appreciation of how ethical principles are operationalized within distinct systemic architectures.

### 8. Physician's Wellbeing

Despite structural differences, resident wellbeing was compromised in both contexts. Extended duty hours, administrative burden, scarcity of quiet study environments, absence of formal mentorship, lack of dedicated wellbeing advisors and career consultants constituted shared deficits. These convergent shortcomings suggest that physician distress is not an isolated phenomenon but a systemic feature of contemporary healthcare, warranting urgent global attention [15].

## CONCLUSION

### Critical Reflections and Prospective Directions

This commentary does not aim to assert hierarchical superiority between healthcare systems; rather, it seeks to illuminate how structural determinants such as continuity of care, supervisory accessibility, documentation protocols, and equity are operationalized and experienced by clinicians at the frontline of training. Qatar's strengths in fostering longitudinal continuity, ensuring supervisory presence, and embedding evidence into routine practice stand in contrast to Ottawa's prioritization of interprofessional collaboration and formalized pedagogical frameworks. Nonetheless, both systems exhibit enduring vulnerabilities in safeguarding trainee in sustaining humane, ethically grounded models of work organization.

These insights prompt broader normative reflection on the obligations of training institutions toward their learners. Specifically, they interrogate the legitimacy of requiring trainees to undertake extensive preparatory processes and significant geographic relocation such as travel to access educational opportunities that may not consistently deliver commensurate pedagogical benefit, psychosocial support, or equity. The financial, emotional, and cognitive burdens inherent in international training trajectories demand rigorous scrutiny, particularly when disparities in institutional support and protections for trainees persist.

Future reforms should therefore prioritize continuity as a foundational educational principle, institutionalize equitable and transparent training environments, guarantee universal access to evidence-based clinical resources, and embed psychological safety within supervisory and feedback structures. Ultimately, the manner in which healthcare systems educate and support their physicians' shapes not only clinical competence but also the ethical orientation, sustainability, and humanism of the care they deliver, determining whose interests are truly centered within these systems.

## REFERENCES

1. Faculty of Medicine [Internet]. [cited 2026 Jan 10]. Core Internal Medicine - DoM. Available from: https://www.uottawa.ca/faculty-medicine/department-medicine/core-internal-medicine
2. Internal Medicine [Internet]. [cited 2026 Jan 10]. Available from: https://hamad.qa:443/EN/Education-and-research/Medical-Education/Post-Graduate-Programs/Pages/Internal-Medicine.aspx
3. Haggerty JL, Reid RJ, Freeman GK, Starfield BH, Adair CE, McKendry R. Continuity of care: a multidisciplinary review. 2003 Nov 20 [cited 2026 Jan 10]; Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/327/7425/1219?hwshib2=authn%3A1768151670%3A20260110%253A5c853026-b582-43bf-9646-0e4479cd70d1%3A0%3A0%3A0%3AY%2BUBl9CDx6qzBwP%2BXE4fNw%3D%3D

4. McCarthy S, Motala A, Lawson E, Shekelle PG. Use of structured handoff protocols for within-hospital unit transitions: a systematic review from Making Healthcare Safer IV. BMJ Qual Saf. 2025 Oct 1;34[10]:680–90.
5. Osman NY, Atalay A, Ghosh A, Saravanan Y, Shagrin B, Singh T, et al. Structuring Medical Education for Workforce Transformation: Continuity, Symbiosis and Longitudinal Integrated Clerkships. Educ Sci [Internet]. 2017 June 1 [cited 2026 Jan 10];7[2]. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/7/2/58
6. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C. From Triple to Quadruple Aim: Care of the Patient Requires Care of the Provider. Ann Fam Med. 2014 Nov 1;12[6]:573–6.
7. Sackett DL, Rosenberg WMC, Gray JAM, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. 1996 Jan 13 [cited 2026 Jan 10]; Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/312/7023/71
8. Wiley.com [Internet]. [cited 2026 Jan 10]. How to Implement Evidence-Based Healthcare | Wiley. Available from: https://www.wiley.com/en-be/How+to+Implement+Evidence-Based+Healthcare-p-9781119238522
9. Kennedy TJT, Regehr G, Baker GR, Lingard LA. Progressive independence in clinical training: a tradition worth defending? Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll. 2005 Oct;80[10 Suppl]:S106-111.
10. Erickson SM, Rockwern B, Koltov M, McLean RM, Medical Practice and Quality Committee of the American College of Physicians. Putting Patients First by Reducing Administrative Tasks in Health Care: A Position Paper of the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2017 May 2;166[9]:659–61.
11. Lockley SW, Barger LK, Ayas NT, Rothschild JM, Czeisler CA, Landrigan CP, et al. Effects of health care provider work hours and sleep deprivation on safety and performance. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2007 Nov;33[11 Suppl]:7–18.
12. Saxena SG, Tisdell E, Farace E, Godfrey T, Aumiller B, Dell E, et al. Achieving equity for International Medical Graduates: a systematic review. Front Med. 2025 July 23; 12:1601492.
13. Al-Shahri MZ. Islamic theology and the principles of palliative care. Palliat Support Care. 2016 Dec;14[6]:635–40.
14. Government of Canada D of J. Canada's medical assistance in dying [MAID] law [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2026 Jan 10]. Available from: https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/cj-jp/ad-am/bk-di.html
15. West CP, Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. Physician burnout: contributors, consequences and solutions. J Intern Med. 2018 June;283[6]:516–29.