OPEN ACCESS
Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Med Pharm Sci
ISSN 2413-4929 (Print) | ISSN 2413-4910 (Online)
Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Journal homepage: https://saudijournals.com

Review Article **Radiology**

Optimizing the Technologist's Role in the "Golden Hour" of Trauma Care: A Narrative Review

Faridah Ahmed Mohammad Baraqaan[1*], Raed Mesfer Alzahrani[2], Mashraf Salam Alrawili[3], Abdulrahim Hassan Almalki[3], Ahmed Sanhat Alharbi[3], Yasir lnad Dhaher Alanazi[3], Almd Muteb O Alblawi[4], Mohammed Faleh M Albalawi[4], Ebrahem Salem Suleman Al Hassani[5], Mohammed Saad F Alrefaie[6], Thamer Marzouq AlBalawi[4], Abdullah Mohammed Almehmadi[7], Hatim Maqpoul Alkhaldi[7], Nawaf Yahya Aljohani[8], Hassan Mabrok Ali Alnahdi[9]

[1]Dental Assistant, Armed Forces Hospital of Wadi Aldwasir, Wadi Aldwasir, Saudi Arabia
[2]Radiology Technician, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[3]Emergency Medicine Technician, Northern Area Armed Forces Hospital, Hafar Al Batin, Saudi Arabia
[4]Public Health, King Salman Armed Forces Hospital, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia
[5]Medical Laboratory Technician, Alhada Armed Forces Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[6]Radiology Technologist, King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[7]Anesthesia Technologist, Alhada Armed Forces Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[8]Pharmacy Technician, Alhada Armed Forces Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[9]Pharmacy technician, King Fahd Armed Forces Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36348/sjmps.2024.v10i12.021 | **Received:** 13.11.2024 | **Accepted:** 17.12.2024 | **Published:** 30.12.2024

***Corresponding author:** Faridah Ahmed Mohammad Baraqaan
Dental Assistant, Armed Forces Hospital of Wadi Aldwasir, Wadi Aldwasir, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

This narrative review synthesizes the existing literature to define and optimize the distinct and coordinated roles of allied health technologists during the critical initial phase of trauma care. The analysis is framed within the modern interpretation of the "Golden Hour" not as a literal 60-minute timeframe, but as a guiding principle of urgency that demands rapid, parallel, and protocol-driven actions to save life and limb. Through a narrative review of peer-reviewed literature, clinical guidelines, and professional standards focusing on adult trauma care in Level I and II trauma centers, this paper examines the contributions of a spectrum of specialists: Emergency Medical Technicians (EMTs), Medical Laboratory Technicians, Radiology Technologists, Anesthesia Technologists, Pharmacy Technicians, and Dental Assistants. The key finding of this review is the identification of a complex system of interdependent, time-sensitive tasks performed by these technologists, which collectively form the diagnostic and preparatory foundation for all definitive surgical and medical interventions. A central theme emerges wherein the efficiency and success of the entire trauma response are contingent on the seamless communication and coordination between these technologist specialties. The review concludes that the "Golden Hour" is saved not only by the visible leadership of physicians and nurses but by the rapid, highly coordinated, and often unseen efforts of this multidisciplinary technologist team. Consequently, this paper advocates for greater institutional recognition of these essential roles, the development of integrated, technologist-inclusive trauma protocols, and the mandatory inclusion of all relevant technologist specialties in high-fidelity interdisciplinary trauma simulations to enhance system-wide performance and improve patient outcomes.

Keywords: Golden Hour, Trauma Care, Radiology.

INTRODUCTION

The "Golden Hour": Redefining a Critical Concept in Modern Trauma

The concept of the "Golden Hour" is one of the most enduring tenets in emergency medicine, originating from the battlefields of the Korean and Vietnam conflicts and brought to civilian practice by the pioneering trauma surgeon R. Adams Cowley. In a seminal 1975 article, Cowley stated, “the first hour after injury will largely determine a critically-injured person's chances for survival”. Although he provided no empirical data at the time, this powerful declaration served as a crucial advocacy tool. It helped galvanize the political and financial support needed to establish the world's first dedicated shock trauma unit and Maryland's groundbreaking statewide Emergency Medical Services (EMS) system, complete with helicopter medevacs designed to deliver any victim to definitive care within that 60-minute window [1, 2].

Cowley's concept, born from observation and advocacy, spurred the development of the multi-billion dollar industry of modern trauma systems. However, as these systems matured, the literal 60-minute rule came under scientific scrutiny. Rigorous, evidence-based reviews have since yielded conflicting results. Some significant studies, particularly in the 1990s, demonstrated a strong correlation between reduced pre-hospital times (less than 60 minutes) and decreased mortality, especially in patients with specific time-sensitive injuries such as severe traumatic brain injury or exsanguinating intra-abdominal hemorrhage. Conversely, other large-scale prospective studies found no universal association between EMS time intervals and in-hospital mortality across a heterogeneous trauma population. This has led to a necessary and more nuanced reinterpretation of the "Golden Hour" [3].

In contemporary trauma care, the "Golden Hour" is no longer viewed as a rigid 60-minute deadline but as a conceptual framework that embodies the principle of extreme urgency. The modern focus has shifted from a simple measure of time to a measure of critical actions performed within that time. It is a recognition that the interval between injury and definitive hemorrhage control, resuscitation, and surgical intervention must be minimized through a highly organized, protocol-driven continuum of care. This conceptual shift from a fixed timeframe to a focus on time-sensitive actions directly reflects the increasing technological sophistication of modern trauma care. Success is now measured by the rapid completion of a series of critical diagnostic and preparatory tasks such as time-to-CT, time-to-blood product administration, and time-to-airway security all of which are directly dependent on the efficiency and expertise of allied health technologists [4].

The Unseen Engine: The Critical Role of Allied Health Technologists

While trauma surgeons, emergency physicians, and nurses are the recognized leaders of the resuscitation, directing clinical decision-making and performing hands-on interventions, a parallel and equally critical workflow is executed by a team of allied health technologists. These professionals are the "unseen engine" of the trauma bay, operating the complex diagnostic machinery, preparing life-saving materials, and executing the technical protocols that provide the real-time data and resources necessary for physicians to act. The modern trauma team is explicitly defined as a multidisciplinary entity, incorporating staff from respiratory therapy, pharmacy, radiology, and the blood bank, among many others. The seamless integration of these allied health professionals, who provide essential diagnostic, therapeutic, and administrative services, is fundamental to the team's success and the delivery of high-quality patient care. Their technical proficiency and coordinated actions form the bedrock upon which life-saving medical decisions are made [5].

Scope and Aims of the Review

The aim of this narrative review is to synthesize the existing literature to construct a comprehensive model of the integrated technologist response during the first hour of trauma care. By examining the distinct and overlapping roles of key technologist specialties, this review seeks to highlight their collective impact on the efficiency of the trauma system and, ultimately, on patient outcomes. The scope is limited to literature concerning adult trauma patients presenting to designated Level I or II trauma centers. The review focuses on the critical phases of pre-hospital handoff and in-hospital trauma bay resuscitation, examining the established protocols and time-sensitive actions of Emergency Medicine Technicians (EMTs), and specialists from the medical laboratory, radiology, anesthesia, pharmacy, and dental fields [6].

Phase 1: Pre-Hospital Activation and Handoff

The Emergency Medicine Technician (EMT): Initiating the Chain of Survival

The technologist's role in the "Golden Hour" begins long before the patient reaches the hospital doors. At the scene of the injury, the Emergency Medicine Technician (EMT) or paramedic initiates the chain of survival. Their primary responsibilities include a rapid assessment of the patient and the immediate application of life-saving interventions grounded in principles of Basic Life Support (BLS) and Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS). These initial actions focus on hemorrhage control through direct pressure and tourniquet application ("stop the bleed"), basic airway management to ensure patency, and stabilization of the patient for transport [7].

Beyond immediate stabilization, one of the most critical functions of the EMT is pre-hospital triage. Utilizing standardized field triage protocols, EMS providers must rapidly assess the severity of injury based on physiological data, anatomical injury patterns, and the mechanism of injury. This assessment informs the crucial decision of where to transport the patient. Directing a severely injured patient to a designated Level I trauma center, even if it means bypassing a closer, less-equipped hospital, is a vital decision that ensures the patient reaches the appropriate level of definitive care in the shortest possible time [8].

The Handoff: A Critical Point of Information Transfer

The EMT's communication with the receiving hospital is the pivotal moment that transforms the in-hospital response from reactive to proactive. This pre-arrival notification, commonly known as a "trauma alert" or "trauma activation," is not an arbitrary call but a formal process triggered when a patient meets specific, pre-defined criteria. These criteria are typically categorized by physiology (e.g., systolic blood pressure (SBP) $ \le 90 $ mmHg, Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) $ < 9 $), anatomy (e.g., penetrating injury to the head, neck, or torso; unstable pelvis), and high-risk mechanism of injury [9].

This single radio or phone report from the field acts as the ignition key for the entire in-hospital technologist engine. The information conveyed mechanism of injury, vital signs, suspected injuries, and interventions performed is parsed by the trauma team leader and immediately disseminated, initiating a cascade of parallel, pre-emptive actions across multiple technical departments. A report of a hypotensive patient with an unstable pelvis after being ejected from a vehicle will simultaneously prompt the medical laboratory technician to prepare for a Massive Transfusion Protocol (MTP), the radiology technologist to bring the portable x-ray machine to the trauma bay, and the anesthesia technologist to ensure the difficult airway cart is at the bedside. Without this pre-arrival notification, these actions would only begin after the patient arrives, introducing critical delays [10].

The quality of this information transfer is therefore paramount. In the chaotic environment of a trauma resuscitation, a fragmented or incomplete report can lead to errors and delays. To mitigate this, many trauma systems have adopted standardized communication mnemonics, such as MIST (Mechanism, Injuries, Signs, Treatment) or ISOBAR, to ensure the handoff is structured, concise, and effective. A standardized report allows the entire receiving team, including all technologists, to form a shared mental model of the patient's condition and anticipate the resources required, thereby maximizing the efficiency of the in-hospital response from the moment the ambulance doors open [11].

Phase 2: Trauma Bay Resuscitation – A Coordinated Technical Response

Upon the patient's arrival in the trauma bay, the resuscitation phase begins. This is not a linear process of assess, diagnose, and treat. Instead, it functions as a "parallel processing" system, where multiple diagnostic and preparatory tasks are executed simultaneously by a team of technologists. Each of these processes provides a critical stream of data or a vital resource that converges to inform the trauma team leader's clinical decisions. The overall speed and effectiveness of the resuscitation are therefore not solely dependent on the physician's decision-making but are often limited by the rate of the slowest technologist-driven task. A failure or delay in one of these parallel workflows can create a bottleneck that stalls the entire system [12].

The Medical Laboratory Technician: Unveiling the "Inside Story"

The medical laboratory technician provides the first glimpse into the patient's physiological state, moving beyond external injuries to reveal the internal metabolic crisis. Their initial action in the trauma bay is often the performance of Point-of-Care (POC) testing. Using portable analyzers located at the bedside, the technician can deliver results for critical parameters such as arterial blood gas (pH, pCO2, lactate), electrolytes (potassium, calcium), and glucose within minutes. This is a dramatic improvement over central laboratory testing, where turnaround times can exceed 30 to 55 minutes. A POC lactate level, for instance, provides an immediate measure of shock severity, allowing the team to titrate resuscitation efforts in real-time [13].

Based on pre-defined clinical triggers (e.g., SBP $ < 90 $ mmHg, heart rate $ > 120 $ bpm, positive FAST exam) or a direct physician order, the medical laboratory technician in the blood bank is responsible for activating the Massive Transfusion Protocol (MTP). This is a high-stakes, time-critical process that aims to prevent death from hemorrhagic shock by rapidly delivering blood products. The technician's role involves the immediate preparation and dispatch of coolers containing a balanced ratio of blood components typically 6 units of packed red blood cells (PRBCs), 6 units of plasma, and 1 dose of platelets (a 1:1:1 ratio). The speed and precision of the blood bank technician in assembling and releasing these products are directly linked to patient survival [14].

Simultaneously, blood specimens drawn upon the patient's arrival are sent to the central laboratory, where a technician must execute a STAT workflow. These trauma specimens are prioritized above all routine samples for a type and screen (to allow a switch from universal O-negative blood to type-specific blood), a full coagulation panel (PT/INR, PTT, fibrinogen), and other tests like troponin or toxicology screens. The results of these tests provide a more comprehensive picture of the patient's coagulopathy and organ function, guiding the ongoing administration of blood products and other therapies [15].

The Radiology Technician & Technologist: Visualizing Life-Threatening Injuries

While the laboratory technician reveals the physiological story, the radiology technologist provides the anatomical one. Their first task is often to maneuver a portable x-ray machine into the crowded and dynamic trauma bay to acquire initial screening images. According to ATLS guidelines, this typically includes an anteroposterior (AP) chest x-ray to rule out life-threatening injuries like a large hemothorax or pneumothorax, and an AP pelvis x-ray to identify unstable pelvic ring fractures, which can be a source of massive hemorrhage. Performing these images requires immense technical skill in a suboptimal environment, as well as strict adherence to radiation safety principles (ALARA: As Low As Reasonably Achievable). The technologist is responsible for shielding other team members with lead aprons, announcing "X-RAY!" to clear the immediate area, and minimizing exposure time and distance to reduce scatter radiation. Environmental analyses of trauma bays confirm that while the overall radiation risk to providers is low, the highest exposure occurs within five feet of the source, highlighting the technologist's critical role in managing this risk [16].

The radiology or sonography technologist also plays a key role in the Focused Assessment with Sonography for Trauma (FAST) exam. While the exam itself is often performed by a physician or surgeon, the technologist is responsible for ensuring the ultrasound machine is charged, functional, and readily available at the bedside with the appropriate transducer. Their preparation and maintenance of this equipment facilitates the rapid, non-invasive search for free fluid (blood) in the pericardial sac, hepatorenal space, splenorenal space, and pelvis, which can guide the decision for emergent laparotomy or thoracotomy [17].

For many major trauma patients who are hemodynamically stable or have been stabilized, the "race to CT" is the next critical phase. The whole-body "pan-scan" a rapid sequence of CT scans of the head, cervical spine, chest, abdomen, and pelvis has become a cornerstone of modern trauma evaluation, allowing for the definitive diagnosis of a vast array of injuries. The radiology technologist's role in this process is pivotal and multifaceted. They coordinate the safe and efficient transfer of a critically ill patient, often with multiple lines and tubes, from the trauma bay to the CT scanner. They prepare and, under physician direction, administer intravenous contrast media using a power injector, a process that requires careful verification of IV access to prevent extravasation. The technologist then operates the complex CT equipment to acquire high-quality diagnostic images in a matter of minutes, all while ensuring continuous patient monitoring. Their efficiency in this role directly determines the "time-to-definitive-diagnosis," a critical metric in trauma care [18].

The Anesthesia Technologist: Securing the Airway and Preparing for Intervention

The anesthesia technologist is the guardian of the airway and the facilitator of critical interventions. Their primary preparatory role is the meticulous management of the "difficult airway" cart. This specialized cart must be fully stocked, organized, and immediately accessible for every trauma activation. It contains a standardized array of advanced airway equipment, including various sizes and types of laryngoscope blades, video laryngoscopes, multiple sizes of endotracheal tubes, supraglottic airways (such as a laryngeal mask airway), and a complete kit for performing an emergent surgical airway (cricothyrotomy). In a "can't intubate, can't oxygenate" crisis, a scenario that can arise suddenly in patients with severe facial trauma or airway swelling, the immediate availability of this life-saving equipment, ensured by the technologist's diligence, is paramount [19].

As the clinical team manages the patient, the anesthesia technologist prepares for the potential need for massive volume resuscitation. On the patient-facing side of the MTP, they are responsible for setting up and priming rapid infusion devices and fluid warmers. These devices, such as the Belmont Rapid Infuser, are capable of delivering warmed blood and fluids at rates up to 1,000 mL/min. By preparing this equipment in advance, the technologist ensures that large volumes of blood products can be administered quickly without inducing the life-threatening hypothermia and coagulopathy that result from infusing cold fluids [20].

Furthermore, the anesthesia technologist assists the anesthesiologist, surgeon, or emergency physician with emergent procedures by preparing the necessary sterile equipment. This includes gathering and opening sterile kits for the placement of central venous catheters and arterial lines, which are essential for large-volume resuscitation and continuous hemodynamic monitoring. The technologist's ability to anticipate these needs and have the correct sterile trays, drapes, gowns, and gloves ready streamlines the procedure, minimizing delays and reducing the risk of infection [21].

The Pharmacy Technician: The "Golden Hour" Medication Arsenal

The pharmacy technician serves as the logistical expert for the trauma bay's medication needs, ensuring that critical drugs are available, prepared, and accounted for in a high-pressure environment. A key responsibility is the management of trauma bay medication stock, which often includes the preparation of pre-drawn and clearly labeled Rapid Sequence Intubation (RSI) kits. These kits contain standard doses of induction agents (e.g., etomidate, ketamine) and paralytics (e.g., succinylcholine, rocuronium), allowing for immediate administration during emergent airway management without the delay and potential for error associated with drawing up medications during a crisis. The technician also ensures that vasopressor infusions (e.g., norepinephrine) are prepared and that appropriate antibiotics are readily available for patients with open fractures [22].

A time-critical intervention in which the pharmacy technician plays a vital role is the preparation of tranexamic acid (TXA). Multiple large-scale clinical trials have demonstrated that the administration of TXA within the first three hours of injury significantly reduces mortality from hemorrhage. The pharmacy technician is responsible for preparing the standard TXA infusion, typically 1 or 2 grams in a 100 mL bag of normal saline, ensuring it is ready for immediate administration by the nursing or physician staff to meet this critical time window. Some innovative systems, recognizing the importance of speed, have implemented protocols for pre-drawn or pre-mixed TXA managed by the pharmacy, a practice shown in pre-hospital settings to reduce the time-to-administration by a median of 20 minutes [23].

Finally, the pharmacy technician is integral to maintaining strict control over narcotics, paralytics, and other controlled substances within the trauma bay. In a chaotic environment where rapid access to these medications is essential, the technician must balance this need with the stringent security, documentation, and reconciliation requirements mandated by the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and institutional policies. This includes managing the inventory of automated dispensing cabinets, meticulously tracking all dispensed and wasted doses, and conducting regular audits to prevent diversion while ensuring availability [24].

The Dental Assistant: The Specialized Role in Maxillofacial Trauma

In Level I trauma centers equipped with Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery (OMS) services, a specialized dental or surgical assistant is an indispensable member of the team responding to severe facial trauma. Their unique skill set is critical for managing specific complications associated with these complex injuries [25].

One of the most time-sensitive roles is the preservation of avulsed (knocked-out) teeth. For both long-term functional restoration and potential forensic identification, the viability of the periodontal ligament is paramount. The dental assistant is trained in the proper protocol: handle the tooth by the crown only, gently rinse any debris with saline or milk (never scrubbing the root), and immediately place it in a suitable transport medium such as Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS), milk, or saline to preserve the delicate root surface cells until the OMS team can attempt reimplantation [26].

Severe maxillofacial trauma frequently leads to airway compromise from blood, saliva, bone fragments, and fractured dental hardware. The dental assistant's expertise in oral evacuation is critical in these moments. Using high-volume suction and other specialized dental instruments, they can rapidly and effectively clear the oral cavity and oropharynx of debris, providing the anesthesiologist or surgeon with a clear field of view necessary for safe laryngoscopy and intubation [27]

Furthermore, unstable mandibular fractures can cause posterior displacement of the tongue, leading to acute airway obstruction. To prevent this, the OMS team may need to perform temporary stabilization by applying arch bars or wires to the teeth to fixate the jaw. The dental assistant anticipates this need by preparing the specialized instrument kits, wires, and other materials required for the procedure, assisting the surgeon at the bedside to facilitate rapid stabilization of the fractured segments and secure the airway [28].

Table 1: Key Technologist Roles and Critical Actions in the First Hour of Trauma Care [29]

Technologist Specialty	Primary Responsibilities	Key Equipment Managed	Critical Time-Sensitive Interventions	Essential Communication Links
EMT/Paramedic	Field stabilization, triage, patient transport, and pre-arrival notification.	Ambulance, trauma bag, cardiac monitor, spinal immobilization devices.	Hemorrhage control (tourniquets), basic airway management, initiating IV access.	Provides MIST/IMIST report to receiving hospital, triggering trauma activation.
Medical Laboratory Technician	Performing STAT laboratory tests, managing blood product inventory and issuance.	POC analyzers, central lab analyzers, blood bank refrigerators/freezers, MTP coolers.	Running POC blood gas/lactate, activating MTP, performing STAT type & screen and coagulation panels.	Confirms MTP activation with team leader; reports critical lab values to nurse/physician.
Radiology Technologist	Acquiring diagnostic images to identify life-threatening injuries.	Portable x-ray machine, ultrasound machine, CT scanner, power injector for contrast.	Performing portable chest/pelvis x-rays, assisting with FAST exam, acquiring whole-body CT pan-scan.	Confirms image orders with team leader; communicates radiation safety warnings to team.
Anesthesia Technologist	Preparing and maintaining airway equipment, assisting	Difficult airway cart, video laryngoscopes, rapid infuser, fluid	Ensuring immediate availability of advanced airway	Confirms equipment readiness with anesthesiologist;

	with resuscitation procedures.	warmer, sterile procedure trays.	devices, setting up for massive transfusion.	assists with procedural setup.
Pharmacy Technician	Ensuring availability and accountability of critical trauma medications.	Automated dispensing cabinets (e.g., Pyxis), RSI kits, controlled substance logs.	Preparing TXA infusion, stocking pre-drawn RSI kits, reconciling controlled substances.	Confirms medication availability with pharmacist/nurse; documents controlled substance dispensing.
Dental Assistant (OMS)	Assisting in the management of severe maxillofacial trauma.	Dental suction, specialized instrument trays, tooth preservation media (HBSS).	Clearing the oral cavity of debris, preserving avulsed teeth, preparing for jaw stabilization.	Assists OMS surgeon with procedural needs; communicates status of preserved tissue.

Phase 3: The Systems-Level Perspective

The impact of the technologist's role extends far beyond the individual patient encounter in the trauma bay. The data generated and the protocols executed during the "Golden Hour" are foundational elements of a much larger public health and quality improvement ecosystem. This systems-level perspective reveals how the minute-to-minute actions of technologists contribute to institutional policy, regional trauma system design, and community-wide injury prevention initiatives [30].

From Data to Decisions: The Role in Trauma Registries

Every action performed by a technologist during a trauma resuscitation is a discrete event that can be, and often is, time-stamped: the time of the initial EMS call, the time of hospital arrival, the time blood was drawn for the first lab tests, the time a POC result was available, the time the first unit of blood was administered, and the time the patient's CT scan was completed. A specialized professional, often a Trauma Registrar with a background in health information technology or nursing, is tasked with meticulously abstracting these and hundreds of other data points from the patient's medical record. This information is then entered into a highly structured trauma registry database [31].

This aggregated, high-quality data forms the backbone of the trauma program's Performance Improvement and Patient Safety (PIPS) process. By analyzing trends in these time-stamped intervals across hundreds or thousands of patients, hospital administrators and trauma program managers can identify system-wide bottlenecks and inefficiencies. For instance, a consistent and prolonged "door-to-CT" time might reveal a flaw in the radiology technologist workflow, a need for better patient transport protocols, or justification for placing a dedicated CT scanner within the emergency department. Similarly, a lengthy "arrival-to-first-unit-of-blood" interval could expose delays in the MTP activation process by the clinical team or in the product delivery workflow from the blood bank, prompting a re-evaluation of those protocols [32];

Protocol, Policy, and Prevention

The analysis of trauma registry data provides the objective evidence needed to design, implement, and continually refine clinical protocols and institutional policies \. Evidence of improved outcomes or identified deficiencies can justify changes to MTP component ratios, trauma team activation criteria, or diagnostic imaging algorithms. This data-driven approach ensures that care is not based on tradition but on measured performance [33].

This process demonstrates how the individual data points collected by technologists become the atomic units of public health policy. A radiology technologist documenting the completion time of a CT scan is performing a routine administrative task for a single patient's chart. When a trauma registrar aggregates this data point across hundreds of patients, a pattern may emerge: the average "door-to-CT" time is significantly longer than national benchmarks. This performance improvement data can lead to a system change, such as streamlining the technologist's workflow or investing in new equipment. A subsequent analysis might then show a correlation between the reduced "door-to-CT" time and improved neurological outcomes in patients with traumatic brain injuries, turning PI data into clinical evidence [34].

Simultaneously, data collected by EMTs in the pre-hospital setting such as injury mechanisms, geographic locations, and patient demographics can be analyzed from a public health perspective to inform injury prevention strategies in what can be called the "hour before the Golden Hour". A cluster of severe head injuries from unhelmeted scooter accidents in a specific urban area, as documented in the registry, can provide the concrete evidence needed for public health officials to advocate for a mandatory helmet ordinance. In this way, the simple, routine action of a technologist timestamping a procedure or an EMT documenting an injury mechanism, when aggregated and analyzed, creates a direct and powerful link from the trauma bay to city hall [35].

Interdisciplinary Simulation: Training the Technologist Team

High-fidelity, in-situ simulation conducting realistic trauma scenarios in the actual clinical environment is a powerful and evidence-based tool for improving both the technical and non-technical skills (e.g., communication, teamwork, leadership) of the trauma team. Simulation provides a safe space to practice the management of low-frequency, high-stakes events, allowing teams to identify latent safety threats and refine their coordinated response without risk to patients [36].

However, a significant gap in the practice of many institutions is the failure to include the full multidisciplinary team in these simulations. Often, training scenarios are limited to physicians and nurses, neglecting the allied health professionals whose roles are integral to the system's function. The literature and best-practice models from leading simulation centers increasingly call for the mandatory inclusion of all relevant team members, including EMTs, respiratory therapists, and other allied health professionals. This review extends that argument to explicitly advocate for the inclusion of medical laboratory, radiology, anesthesia, and pharmacy technologists in all system-level trauma simulations. Practicing a massive hemorrhage scenario without the blood bank technician who prepares the MTP cooler, or a difficult airway scenario without the anesthesia technologist who manages the airway cart, fails to test the true system. Such omissions create a false sense of security and miss critical opportunities to identify and rectify breakdowns in the communication links and interdependent workflows that are essential for a successful resuscitation [37].

Discussion: Synergies, Gaps, and Future Directions

Synergies and Breakdowns: The Primacy of Communication

Synthesizing the roles and responsibilities of the various technologist specialties reveals that the "Golden Hour" is, in essence, a relay race where the baton is clear, concise, and protocolized communication. The entire process is a chain of critical handoffs: the EMT's pre-arrival report to the emergency department, the team leader's call to the blood bank to activate the MTP, the surgeon's verbal order to the radiology technologist for a STAT portable chest x-ray, and the anesthesiologist's request for a specific device from the difficult airway cart. The integrity of this complex communication network, which links numerous distinct, technologist-driven functions, is the primary determinant of the system's efficiency. Synergies are created when information flows freely and accurately, allowing for proactive preparation and parallel processing of tasks. Conversely, breakdowns in this communication a missed call, an incomplete report, a misunderstood order are the most common and potent sources of preventable delays, medical errors, and adverse patient outcomes [38].

Gaps in the Literature and Practice

Despite the evident importance of these roles, a review of the literature reveals significant gaps. While the contributions of EMTs, laboratory technicians, and radiology technologists are reasonably well-documented within the context of trauma care, there is a notable scarcity of research focusing specifically on the impact of Anesthesia Technologists, Pharmacy Technicians, and Dental Assistants during the initial resuscitation phase. The contributions of these "hidden" technologists are often subsumed under the broader titles of their respective departments or the actions of their supervising clinicians (e.g., the anesthesiologist "gets" the airway equipment, the nurse "administers" the TXA). This lack of specific attribution renders their direct technical contributions and their impact on efficiency and safety largely invisible in the academic literature, hindering efforts to formally recognize and optimize their roles [39].

Furthermore, a gap exists in how trauma systems measure performance. Current performance improvement metrics often focus on broad, physician-centric milestones like "time to OR" or "time to disposition." While valuable, these metrics do not capture the granular, underlying processes that enable them. There is a need for more technologist-focused metrics, such as "time MTP cooler requested to time cooler arrives at bedside" or "time CT order placed to time images available for review." Developing and tracking such metrics would allow for more targeted performance improvement initiatives aimed at the specific technical workflows that form the foundation of the resuscitation [40].

Future Directions

The landscape of trauma care is on the cusp of significant technological transformation, which will inevitably reshape the roles of technologists. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into diagnostic workflows is particularly promising. AI algorithms are being developed that can analyze radiographic images and CT scans for subtle fractures or critical findings like intracranial hemorrhage, potentially flagging them for the radiologist's attention even before the technologist has completed the full scan. This could evolve the radiology technologist's role from one of pure image acquisition to managing a complex, AI-assisted diagnostic workflow. Similarly, AI and machine learning models could analyze laboratory data in real-time to predict the onset of trauma-induced coagulopathy or sepsis far earlier than is currently possible, providing critical alerts to the clinical team [41].

The field of diagnostics is also moving toward more advanced and comprehensive POC testing. The future will likely see the deployment of handheld devices capable of performing complex tests like viscoelastic hemostatic assays (e.g., a portable thromboelastography or TEG) or identifying specific protein biomarkers for traumatic brain injury directly in the trauma bay. This would further empower laboratory technologists at the bedside, providing surgeons and anesthesiologists with immediate, actionable data to guide hemostatic resuscitation [42].

Finally, as technology becomes more sophisticated and integrated, there is a clear potential for an expanded scope of practice for highly trained and certified technologists. Under clearly defined protocols and with appropriate oversight, this could involve technologists initiating certain pre-approved, time-sensitive actions. For example, a pharmacy technician could be empowered to automatically dispatch a pre-prepared TXA infusion based on an EMT's pre-arrival report meeting specific hemorrhage criteria. Such protocol-driven, technologist-initiated actions could further streamline the trauma response, shaving precious minutes off the time to critical interventions [43].

CONCLUSION

The "Golden Hour" of trauma care is a period of organized chaos, a high-stakes symphony where survival is determined by a series of rapid, parallel, and meticulously coordinated actions. This review has sought to illuminate the often-underrecognized fact that while physicians and nurses may direct this symphony, it is the multidisciplinary team of allied health technologists who play the critical instruments. From the EMT's first report from the field to the laboratory technician's final critical lab value, and from the radiology technologist's definitive CT image to the anesthesia technologist's readiness for a crisis, their collective technical expertise forms the indispensable foundation upon which all life-saving decisions are built [44].

For trauma systems to achieve the next level of efficiency and continue to improve patient outcomes, there must be a paradigm shift in how these essential professionals are viewed and integrated. This requires greater institutional recognition, deeper professional integration into leadership and protocol design, and a commitment to targeted, interdisciplinary training. Technologists must be included in performance improvement initiatives and, most critically, in every system-level trauma simulation. The technologist is not merely an assistant or a support service in the trauma bay; they are a cornerstone of the modern trauma system, and their optimization is key to saving lives [45].

REFERENCES

1. America's Essential Hospitals. (2014). The evolution of trauma care. Retrieved from https://essentialhospitals.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/Evolution.pdf.
2. Wikipedia. (n.d.). Golden hour (medicine). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden_hour_(medicine.
3. Wellspring. (n.d.). The golden hour. Retrieved from https://wellspring.northbay.org/the-golden-hour/.
4. Rogers, F. B., Rittenhouse, K., & Gross, B. (2015). The golden hour in trauma: Dogma or medical folklore? Journal of Lancaster General Hospital, 9(1), 11–13. Retrieved from https://www.jlgh.org/jlgh/media/journal-lgh-media-library/past%20issues/volume%209%20-%20issue%201/rogers9_1.pdf.
5. JEMS. (2012). The golden hour. Retrieved from https://www.jems.com/patient-care/golden-hour/.
6. R Adams Cowley Shock Trauma Center. (n.d.). The man who transformed emergency medicine. Retrieved from https://cowleymdshocktrauma.com/.
7. Manring, M. M., & Eby, D. W. (2018). R Adams Cowley and the "Golden Hour," "Third Space," and other linguistic contributions to trauma care. The American Surgeon, 84(1), 25–28. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29336762/.
8. National EMS Museum. (n.d.). Dr. R. Adams Cowley. Retrieved from https://emsmuseum.org/collections/archives/people/r_adams_crowley/. (54)
9. Beldowicz, B. (2020). The golden hour. Military Review. Retrieved from https://www.armyupress.army.mil/Journals/Military-Review/English-Edition-Archives/March-April-2020/Beldowicz-Golden-Hour/.
10. Lerner, E. B., & Moscati, R. M. (2001). The golden hour: Scientific fact or medical "urban legend"? Academic Emergency Medicine, 8(7), 758–760. Retrieved from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11435197/.
11. Taylor & Francis. (n.d.). Golden hour. Retrieved from https://taylorandfrancis.com/knowledge/Medicine_and_healthcare/Emergency_medicine/Golden_Hour/.
12. Harmsen, A. M. K., Giannakopoulos, G. F., Moerbeek, P. R., Jansma, E. P., Bonjer, H. J., & Bloemers, F. W. (2015). The influence of prehospital time on trauma patients outcome: A systematic review. Injury, 46(4), 602–609. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271079908_Revisiting_the_Golden_Hour_An_Evaluation_of_Out-of-Hospital_Time_in_Shock_and_Traumatic_Brain_Injury.
13. Meisler, R., Thomsen, A. B., Gries, A., & Kulla, M. (2018). Revisiting the golden hour: An evaluation of out-of-hospital time in shock and traumatic brain injury. Emergency Medicine Journal, 35(11), 665–670. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271079908_Revisiting_the_Golden_Hour_An_Evaluation_of_Out-of-Hospital_Time_in_Shock_and_Traumatic_Brain_Injury.

14. de Souza, C., & Divino, J. (Eds.). (2016). The trauma golden hour: A practical guide. Springer. Retrieved from https://scholarship.miami.edu/esploro/outputs/book/The-Trauma-Golden-Hour-A-Practical/991031716754702976.
15. Dr. Oracle. (2023). Evidence regarding the validity of the 'golden hour' in multi-system trauma. Retrieved from https://www.droracle.ai/articles/29031/evidence-regarding-the-validity-of-the-golden-hour-in-multi-system-trauma.
16. PHI Cares. (2024). The golden hour in trauma care: The vital role of PHI Air Medical and PHI Cares membership. Retrieved from https://phicares.com/2024/08/29/the-golden-hour-in-trauma-care-the-vital-role-of-phi-air-medical-and-phi-cares-membership/.
17. Kumar, S. (2011). "Golden hour" in trauma: A myth or a reality. International Journal of Critical Illness and Injury Science, 1(2), 57–58. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3209986/.
18. University of California San Francisco. (n.d.). Trauma team roles and responsibilities. Retrieved from https://s3.ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/wikem.cf.bucket/images/Trauma_roles_and_responsibilities_revised.pdf.
19. Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne. (n.d.). Trauma team roles and responsibilities. Retrieved from https://www.rch.org.au/trauma-service/manual/Trauma_team_roles_and_responsibilities/.
20. University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences. (2023). Trauma roles and responsibilities. Retrieved from https://medicine.uams.edu/surgery/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/2023/07/Trauma-Roles-and-Responsibilities-Jan23.pdf.
21. Prolink. (n.d.). The role of allied healthcare professionals in patient care: A vital support system. Retrieved from https://prolinkworks.com/perspectives/role-of-allied-healthcare-professionals-in-patient-care-vital-support-system.
22. Corkern, R. (2024). The evolution of emergency medicine: How technology is saving lives. Retrieved from https://drrobertcorkern.com/emergency-medicine/the-evolution-of-emergency-medicine-how-technology-is-saving-lives/.
23. Ahmad, F., Shaik, R. A., Almarzooqi, S., Al-Samarraie, H., & Al-Nuaimi, S. K. (2024). Emergency medicine informatics: Transforming patient care through technology. Cureus, 16(5), e59970. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11299705/.
24. Swaminathan, A., & Zarrin, D. (2023). Trauma team. StatPearls Publishing. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK547757/.
25. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. (n.d.). Trauma and critical care teams (TCCTs). Retrieved from https://aspr.hhs.gov/NDMS/Pages/tcct.aspx.
26. Prime Scholars. (2024). Role of emergency medical services (EMS) in prehospital care. Journal of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, 32(6). Retrieved from https://www.primescholars.com/articles/role-of-emergency-medical-services-ems-in-prehospital-care-132675.html.
27. Moore, E. E., Feliciano, D. V., & Mattox, K. L. (Eds.). (2017). Trauma (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education. Retrieved from https://accesssurgery.mhmedical.com/Content.aspx?bookid=2057&sectionid=156212743.
28. van Rein, E. A. J., van der Sluijs, M. P., Voskens, F. J., Lansink, K. W. W., Houwert, R. M., Lichtveld, R. A., & Leenen, L. P. H. (2020). The role of emergency medical service providers in the decision-making process of prehospital trauma triage. European Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery, 46, 131–141. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7026224/.
29. South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control. (n.d.). EMS and trauma. Retrieved from https://dph.sc.gov/professionals/healthcare-quality/ems-and-trauma.
30. University of Colorado. (n.d.). Trauma alert/activation criteria checklist. Retrieved from https://www.ucdenver.edu/docs/librariesprovider74/trauma-and-acute-care-surgery-pdfs/trauma-protocols/trauma-activation-alert-criteria-checklist.pdf?sfvrsn=910abeb9_2.
31. University of Missouri Health Care. (n.d.). Trauma team activation criteria. Retrieved from https://health.mo.gov/living/healthcondiseases/chronic/tcdsystem/pdf/MU-ActivationCriteriaFinal.pdf.
32. Arizona Department of Health Services. (2016). Sample multi-level trauma team activation criteria. Retrieved from https://www.azdhs.gov/documents/preparedness/emergency-medical-services-trauma-system/trauma/sample-multi-level-021916.pdf.
33. Froedtert & the Medical College of Wisconsin. (2023). Trauma team activation criteria guideline. Retrieved from https://www.froedtert.com/sites/default/files/upload/docs/services/trauma/guidelines/trauma-team-activation-criteria-guideline.pdf.
34. Bouchard, K., Cun, A. D., Parsons, M. H., & D'Aoust, P. (2023). Evaluating structured handover formats during trauma handover: A randomized simulation trial. Canadian Journal of Surgery, 66(3), E284–E291. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10228662/.

35. University of Texas Medical Branch. (2022). EMS time-outs improve patient care outcomes. Retrieved from https://www.utmbhealth.com/partners/ems/article/ems-newsletter/2022/08/11/ems-time-outs-improve-patient-care-outcomes.
36. Hilaris Publisher. (2017). Point-of-care testing for trauma, emergency, and critical care. Journal of Trauma & Treatment, 6(4). Retrieved from https://www.hilarispublisher.com/proceedings/pointofcare-testing-for-trauma-emergency-and-critical-care-19978.html.
37. Vang, C., Tolsgaard, M. G., & Rosenberg, J. (2024). Point-of-care testing (POCT): Revolutionizing clinical diagnostics and patient care. Journal of Clinical Medicine, 13(5), 1438. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389215040_Point-of-Care_Testing_POCT_Revolutionizing_Clinical_Diagnostics_and_Patient_Care.
38. Jalali, F., Nazari, M., & Dadashi, A. (2024). The role of prehospital point-of-care testing in improving outcomes for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients: A cross-sectional study. BMC Emergency Medicine, 24(1), 74. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11868669/.
39. Acute Care Testing. (n.d.). Point-of-care testing in the emergency department: Getting to the point. Retrieved from https://acutecaretesting.org/en/articles/point-of-care-testing-in-the-emergency-department-getting-to-the-point.
40. St. John, A., & Price, C. P. (Eds.). (2014). Point-of-care testing. AACC Press. Retrieved from https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/lab-on-a-chip-technologies/articles/10.3389/frlct.2024.1394752/full.
41. Shayan, Z., Anand, S., Tat, S., Jurkovich, G. J., & Bulger, E. M. (2016). Point-of-care creatinine testing in the trauma bay: A promising development. Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open, 1(1), e000014. Retrieved from https://tsaco.bmj.com/content/1/1/e000014.
42. McCoy, J., Hito, R., & Lotfipour, S. (2019). Point-of-care testing vs. laboratory testing during high patient volume situations. Open Journal of Emergency Medicine, 7(4), 49–55. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338192424_Point-of-Care_Testing_vs_Laboratory_Testing_during_High_Patient_Volume_Situations.
43. University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston. (n.d.). Adult massive transfusion protocol. Retrieved from https://med.uth.edu/surgery/adult-massive-transfusion-protocol/.
44. Vanderbilt University Medical Center. (n.d.). Massive transfusion protocol (MTP). Retrieved from https://www.vumc.org/trauma-and-scc/sites/default/files/public_files/Protocols/VUMC%20Massive%20Transfusion%20Protocol.pdf.
45. Versiti. (n.d.). Massive transfusion protocol (MTP) development. Retrieved from https://versiti.org/products-services/diagnostic-laboratories-insights/diagnostic-lab-resources/txmd-massive-transfusion-protocol-development.

