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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Masseter muscle hypertrophy can cause a prominent mandibular angle, which results in an unesthetic lower face contour. Botox injection is a minimally invasive procedure compared to conventional surgery and is safe and easy to handle. Patients often request an aesthetic alteration by surgical procedures or masseter muscle injections to attain an aesthetic facial contour. While aesthetic surgery can reshape the lower face contour, numerous patients still choose a minimally invasive therapeutic approach. **Aim:** This study aims to review published articles that discussed the effect of buccal fat pad removal versus masseter muscle Botox for improving facial aesthetics. **Materials and Methods:** A literature search was performed from multiple databases including PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane, and Google Scholar. The most eligible articles were included using specific keywords. The literature search was limited to full-text English articles, which were screened for eligibility by two reviewers. **Results and Discussion:** Six studies were included in our study, these studies demonstrated that aesthetic results were improved in all discussed clinical trials. However, more clinical trials are needed for fat pad removal surgery trials. **Conclusion:** Our study showed that masseter muscle Botox injection can improve overall facial aesthetic appearance. However, more clinical trials are needed for buccal fat pad removal surgery.
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**INTRODUCTION**

A lower face shape that is characterized by a wide and square jaw is one of the main esthetic problems, especially in Asia [2, 4]. The lower face contour depends on the masseter muscle, the soft tissues, and the thickness of the mandibular bone [4]. Masseter hypertrophy can increase the mandibular angle, which results in an unesthetic lower face wide contour [3, 4]. The cause of masseter hypertrophy is still unidentified, while numerous causes have been introduced [4]. The use of botulinum toxin type A for the treatment of massteric hypertrophy was first suggested in 1994 [5]. Compared to conventional surgery, Botox injection is a minimally invasive procedure and is safe and easy to handle [3]. To attain an aesthetic facial contour, patients often request an aesthetic modification by surgical approaches or masseter muscle injections. While aesthetic surgery can reshape the lower face, however, numerous patients still choose minimally invasive therapy [4]. Previous studies have discussed buccal fat pad removal and masseteric muscle injections for improving the facial aesthetic, this current systematic review aims to review published articles that discussed the effect of buccal fat pad removal versus masseter muscle Botox for improving facial aesthetics.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Elaboration**

This systematic review followed the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta Analyzes Protocols (PRISMA 2020). The acronym PICOS was used according to the research question “the effect of buccal fat pad removal versus masseter muscle Botox for improving facial aesthetics?” P = Patients pre and post-procedure; I = Botox injections and buccal fat removal; C = Control group; O = Aesthetic results. The search strategy was applied to PubMed, Cochrane, Google Scholar, and Web of science on Oct 11, 2022, without the restriction of time and is demonstrated in (Fig 1).
Eligibility Criteria
For the selection process of the articles to be included in this systematic review, all articles that evaluated the effect of buccal fat pad removal versus masseter muscle Botox for improving facial aesthetics were defined as inclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria are as follows: (1) Did not evaluate the effect of buccal fat pad removal; (2) Did not evaluate masseter muscle Botox injections; (3) Did not discuss aesthetic results; (4) Non-English language articles; (5) Articles with no full text available.

Selection Process
The articles were selected in two stages. In the first step, reviewers B.F and A.A evaluated the title and abstract of the articles found after applying the search strategy according to the eligibility criteria, to select the articles to be read in full. In the second step, B.F and A.A independently assessed the articles selected for full reading according to the eligibility criteria.

Data Extraction
Data arrangement was performed in an Excel spreadsheet according to the criteria (a) Author, (b) Aims and objectives; (c) The total number of samples; (d) Year of publications; (e) Results. Illustrated in (Table 1).

Risk of Bias
The risk of bias classification was performed in the RevMan 5.3 software (The Nordic Cochrane Center) according to the criteria (1) Low risk of bias (high methodological quality), (2) Moderate risk of bias (moderate methodological quality), (3) High risk of bias (low methodological quality). All included studies demonstrated low-risk bias (high methodological quality). No insufficiency concerning the data outcome was observed for all articles. A general analysis of the risk of bias in the studies is demonstrated in (Fig 2).

Fig 1: Study flow chart
RESULTS

Six studies were included in our results. A minimum number of studies discussed buccal fat pad removal to improve the facial aesthetic appearance. Orlando et al., assessed long-term psychometric outcomes of surgical treatment of HIV-related facial lipoatrophy. The author concluded that all the participants had significant improvement in their aesthetic appearance [1]. On the other hand, Hong et al., investigated botulinum toxin for masseteric hypertrophy treatment. The results showed that Prabotulinum toxin A can improve lower face contour [2]. Another study by Xie et al., established a masseter classification method for botulinum toxin type A protocol. Improved lower face contour was also suggested by the authors [3]. Shome et al., evaluated the effectiveness of Botox type A in the management of masseter hypertrophy. The results showed an effective in the management of masseter hypertrophy with satisfactory facial esthetic [4]. Lee et al., assessed the changes in the lower facial contour achieved with BoNT-A. He concluded that the administration of the second dose of BoNT-A injection contributes to better aesthetic results [5]. Kim et al., evaluated the effects of botulinum toxin type A on masseteric hypertrophy. The results demonstrated that nine subjects showed aesthetically good results [6]. Characteristics of included studies are presented in (Table 1).

Table 1: Characteristics of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Aims and objectives</th>
<th>Total number of Sample</th>
<th>Year of publication</th>
<th>conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orlando et al.,</td>
<td>assess long-term psychometric outcomes of surgical treatment of HIV-related facial lipoatrophy</td>
<td>299 participants</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>All the participants had significant improvement in the aesthetic appearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong et al.,</td>
<td>Investigate botulinum toxin for masseteric hypertrophy treatment</td>
<td>90 participants</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Prabotulinum toxin A can improve lower face contour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al.,</td>
<td>Establish a masseter classification method for botulinum toxin type A protocol</td>
<td>504 participants</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Improved the lower face contour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shome et al.,</td>
<td>Evaluate effectiveness of Botox type A in management of masseter hypertrophy</td>
<td>50 participants</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Effective for management of masseter hypertrophy with satisfactory facial esthetic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al.,</td>
<td>Assess the changes in the lower facial contour achieved with BoNT-A</td>
<td>20 participants</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Administration of a second dose of BoNT-A injection contribute to better aesthetic results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.,</td>
<td>Evaluate the effects of botulinum toxin type A on masseteric hypertrophy</td>
<td>11 participants</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Nine subjects showed aesthetically good results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Few studies discussed about fat pad removal and its contribution to better aesthetic results. Most of the clinical trials discussed about masseter muscle injection and their effect on facial aesthetic contour. Shome et al., evaluated the effectiveness of Botox type A in the management of masseter hypertrophy. The results showed an effective in the management of masseter hypertrophy with satisfactory facial esthetic [4]. Kim et al., evaluated the effects of botulinum toxin type A on masseteric hypertrophy. The results demonstrated that nine subjects showed aesthetically good results [6]. Orlando et al., assessed long-term psychometric outcomes of surgical treatment of HIV-related facial lipoatrophy. The author concluded that all the participants had significant improvement in their aesthetic appearance [1]. On the other hand, Hong et al., investigated botulinum toxin for masseteric hypertrophy treatment. The results showed that Prabotulinum toxin A can improve lower face contour [2]. Lee et al., assessed the changes in the lower facial contour achieved with BoNT-A. He concluded that the administration of the second dose of BoNT-A injection contributes to better aesthetic results [5]. Another study by Xie et al established a masseter classification method for botulinum toxin type A protocol. Improved lower face contour was also suggested by the authors [3].

CONCLUSION

Our study showed that masseter muscle Botox injection can improve overall facial aesthetic appearance. However, more clinical trials are needed for buccal fat pad removal surgery. Aesthetic results were improved in all discussed clinical trials and more clinical trials are needed for fat pad removal surgery.
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