# Scholars International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch Int J Obstet Gynec ISSN 2616-8235 (Print) | ISSN 2617-3492 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: https://saudijournals.com/sijog

**Original Research Article** 

# To Compare the Efficacy of Labetalol and Methyldopa in Treatment of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension

Dr. Neha Sharma, Dr. Alka Batar\*

Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

**DOI:** 10.36348/sijog.2020.v03i08.001 | **Received:** 20.07.2020 | **Accepted:** 27.07.2020 | **Published:** 06.08.2020

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Alka Batar

#### **Abstract**

**Background**: The present study is undertaken with limited available facilities to find out the efficacy of two oral antihypertensive drugs namely labetalol and methyldopa in management of pregnancy induced hypertension. **Methods**: The study consisted of 150 patients with pregnancy induced hypertension attending outpatient department and admitted in ANW, or who directly came to labour room. These patients were randomly selected on lottery basis after they fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Total 150 patients were taken for the study and divided into 2 groups of 75 patients in each group. **Results**: The mean SBP before treatment in methyldopa group was161.33  $\pm$  8.97 mmHg and 160.03  $\pm$  8.23 mmHg in labetalol group which showed a fall to 138.61  $\pm$  6.67 mmHg (methyldopa group) and 138.08  $\pm$  5.37 mmHg (labetalol groups) after treatment. Fall of SBP was significant in both the groups. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). The mean DBP before treatment was 106.85  $\pm$  4.33 mmHg in methyldopa group and 105.63  $\pm$  5.23 mmHg in labetalol group which decreased to 89.31  $\pm$  6.51 mmHg and 89.68  $\pm$  5.26 mmHg respectively after treatment. Fall of DBP was significant in both the groups. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). Fall of MAP was significant in Group A and Group B. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). **Conclusion**: Labetalol and methyldopa are equally efficacious in controlling blood pressure in new onset hypertension in pregnancy.

Keywords: Labetalol, Methyldopa, Hypertension.

Copyright @ 2020: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

### Introduction

The modern obstetrical approach has been stepped up to keep the mother as well as the fetus away from the deleterious effects of maternal disease like hypertension till the pregnancy reaches its goal safely. But multifactorial behaviour of hypertension with individual variations makes the matter more complicated.

Early diagnosis, close medical supervision and timely delivery are the cardinal requirements of the management of the PIH. Antihypertensive drugs are often used to lower blood pressure with the aim of preventing this progression to adverse outcomes. There is now very strong evidence to support the use of antihypertensive agents in all form of hypertension. Severe hypertension, conventionally defined as a BP of>160/110 mmHg, should be treated to prevent severe maternal complications [1-3].

Alpha Methyldopa is an  $\alpha$ -methyl analogue of dopa, the precursor of dopamine (DA) and noradrenaline. Methyldopa is the most frequently prescribed and the agent of first choice for treatment of hypertension in pregnancy. There is extensive clinical experience and long-term follow-up data regarding children whose mothers received methyldopa during pregnancy with proven maternal and fetal safety. Methyldopa is a weak antihypertensive drug that needs to be given three or four times a day and frequently requires titration and nonadherence to therapy [4].

Labetalol blocks both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -adrenoceptors and produces its hypotensive effects without compromising the maternal cardiovascular system, and maintains renal and uterine blood flow. Little placental transfer occurs, mainly due to the negligible lipid solubility of the drug. Not only does labetalol satisfactorily control BP in pregnant women but may possibly aid the maturation of the fetal lung in utero [5].

The present study is undertaken with limited available facilities to find out the efficacy and safety of two oral antihypertensive drugs namely labetalol and methyldopa in management of pregnancy induced hypertension with the following aims and objectives.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Study design

This study was hospital based comparative prospective study which included patients with pregnancy induced hypertension, attending the Antenatal Outpatient Department and admitted in Antenatal Ward or directly attending the labour room.

# Study population

The study consisted of 150 patients with pregnancy induced hypertension attending outpatient department and admitted in ANW, or who directly came to labour room. These patients were randomly selected on lottery basis after they fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Total 150 patients were taken for the study and divided into 2 groups of 75 patients in each group.

The cases were selected and divided into two groups Group A and Group B. Each case with odd number was selected in the Group A and each case with even no. was selected in the Group B. Group a patients were treated with methyldopa and Group B patients treated with labetalol.

# **Selection of cases**

# Inclusion Criteria for study included the following:

- A singleton pregnancy.
- Patients who were booked before 20 weeks of gestation were taken up after they cross 20 weeks of gestation.
- Blood pressure exceeding 150 and 100 mm of Hg, systolic and diastolic respectively.

- History of diabetes
- Rhesus iso -immunization
- Cardiac Diseases
- Asthma
- Patients previously given anti-hypertensive drugs
- Patients at risk of major obstetric complications- antepartum haemorrhage, malnutrition, twins, hydramnious during current pregnancy.
- The cases those were not booked before 20<sup>th</sup> weeks of pregnancy.
- The patients who came with any complications like eclampsia, IUFD, preterm labour, abruptio placentae, LVF, cerebrovascular accident, DIC and also the cases with symptoms of imminent eclampsia.
- Patients not willing to be hospitalized.

#### Method of data collection

An elaborate history was taken. General physical examination and systemic examinations were done.

For all the cases, dipstick test for urine albumin in random urine sample was done. Along with routine examination of pregnancy, liver function test, renal function test, coagulation profile was done. Ultrasonography was done in all the cases for estimation of gestational age, for placental localization, abruption, to rule out congenital anomalies, multiple pregnancy etc.

If the patient was in labour, labour was monitored with partograph. The newborns were attended by pediatrician, examined and any complication noted was managed accordingly.

Blood pressure record was maintained after delivery till discharge. On discharge, discharge card was given and postoperative visits after 6 weeks, 12 weeks were advised.

# Exclusion Criteria included those with a **RESULTS**

Table-1: Age distribution of patients in group a and group B

AGE GROUP	GROUP A $(n = 75)$		GROUP B $(n = 75)$		
(in years)	No.	%	No.	%	
<18	5	6.66	7	9.33	
19—24	40	53.33	38	50.66	
25—30	22	29.33	23	30.66	
>30	8	10.66	7	9.33	

Maximum number of patients was between 19-24 years in both the groups. In Group a 40 (53.33%) patients and in Group B 38 (50.66%) patients were in this age group. The maximum age in the Group A was 34 years and 35 years in the Group B. The minimum

age was 17 years in both the groups. The mean age in groups A was  $24.4\pm4.55$  years and in Group B  $23.95\pm4.28$  years. The inter group difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05) thus the two groups were comparable by age.

Table-2: Mean blood pressure level of study groups before treatment

BLOOD PRESSURE		GROUP A		GROUP B		p-value
		Range	Mean BP ± SD	Range	Mean BP ± SD	
			(mmHg)		(mmHg)	
SBP	Before	150—184	161.33±8.97	150—182	160.03±8.23	>0.05
	After	130—152	138.61±6.67	130—152	138.08±5.37	>0.05
	p-value	< 0.05	<0.05			
DBP	Before	100—120	106.85±4.33	100—120	105.63±5.23	>0.05
	After	80—104	89.31±6.51	80—104	89.68±5.26	>0.05
p-value		<0.05		< 0.05		
MAP	Before	116.66—140.66	125.01±4.39	116.66—140	123.76±4.45	>0.05
	After	116.66—140.66	105.74±5.16	96.66—120	105.81±4.04	>0.05
	p-value	< 0.05	•	< 0.05	•	

The mean SBP before treatment in methyldopa group was161.33  $\pm$  8.97 mmHg and 160.03  $\pm$  8.23 mmHg in labetalol group which showed a fall to 138.61  $\pm$  6.67 mmHg (methyldopa group) and 138.08  $\pm$  5.37 mmHg (labetalol groups) after treatment. Fall of SBP was significant in both the groups. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). The mean DBP before treatment was 106.85  $\pm$  4.33 mmHg in methyldopa group and 105.63  $\pm$  5.23 mmHg in labetalol group which decreased to 89.31  $\pm$  6.51 mmHg and 89.68  $\pm$  5.26 mmHg respectively after treatment.

Fall of DBP was significant in both the groups. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). Fall of MAP was significant in Group A and Group B. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). On comparing methyldopa and labetalol groups mean blood pressure before and after treatment was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). Reduction in SBP, DBP, MAP was significant (p < 0.05) in both the groups after treatment but intergroup difference in fall in blood pressure was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

Table-3: Number of patients who required additional drug used in group a and group B

Uncontrolled	GROUP A $(n = 75)$		<b>GROUP B</b> (n = 75)	
hypertension	No.	%	No.	%
Uncontrolled Hypertension	2	2.66	3	4.00

The number of patient who required additional drugs to control the uncontrolled hypertension. In Group a 2 (2.66%) patients and in Group B 3 (4%) patients did not respond with starting drug. These cases were started on an additional drug from the other groups and delivery was expedited. The inter group difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

## **DISCUSSION**

150 patients with pregnancy induced hypertension, who attended the antenatal outpatient department and admitted or directly came to the labour room, had been studied. The patients were divided into 2 groups: Group patients were treated with methyldopa and Group B patients were treated with labetalol.

In the present study fall of SBP, DBP and MAP was significant in both the groups. But the inter group difference was statistically not significant (p>0.05). The mean SBP before treatment in methyldopa group was  $161.33 \pm 8.97$  mmHg and  $160.03 \pm 8.23$  mmHg in labetalol group which showed a fall to  $138.61 \pm 6.67$  mmHg (methyldopa group) and  $138.08 \pm 5.37$  mmHg (labetalol groups) after treatment. Fall of SBP was significant in both the groups. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). The mean DBP before treatment was  $106.85 \pm 4.33$  mmHg in methyldopa group and  $105.63 \pm 5.23$  mmHg in labetalol group which decreased to  $89.31 \pm 6.51$  mmHg

and  $89.68 \pm 5.26$  mmHg respectively after treatment. Fall of DBP was significant in both the groups. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). Fall of MAP was significant in Group A and Group B. But inter group difference was not significant (p > 0.05). Similar results were shown by study conducted by Qasim *et al.* in which patients treated with Labetalol systolic/diastolic BP on admission (1st day) was 150±9mmHg/100±8mmHg respectively and controlled to 123±9mmHg/79±7mmHg on day 7th while systolic/diastolic BP in Methyldopa treated group the day of admission (1st day) 148±8mmHg/102±9mmHg which was reduced to 125±10 mmHg/82±6mmHg.18 Statistically significant reduction in systolic/diastolic BP was observed in case of Labetalol treated group[6]. This is in accordance with the study done by Lamming et al. [7] Study conducted by El Qarmalawi et al. [8] says that Labetalol provides more efficient control of BP than Methyldopa in treatment of hypertension in pregnancy. In a study conducted by Wallin JD and Wilson D, Eighty-one severely hypertensive patients were enrolled in a multicenter, double-blind, and parallel group study evaluating the efficacy and safety of Labetalol alone or in combination with furosemide versus Methyldopa in combination with furosemide [9].

Gurjar BG et al. [10] was observed that the labetalol treated group of patients showed significant

fall from 143.50±7.30mmHg/101.30±3.93 (sytolic/diastolic) on 1 st day to 126.10±5.49 mmHg/87.40±5.62 mmHg (sytolic/diastolic) on day 7, while systolic/diastolic BP in methyldopa group on 1st day was 145.20±7.17 mmHg/101.60±4.20 mmHg which was reduced to 129.20±4.86 mmHg/90.50±3.30 mmHg on day 7. Author found that MAP in Labetalol group reduced from 115.226±4.17 mmHg to 100.17±4.43 mmHg on day 7 while in Methyldopa group had MAP on admission 115.99±4.38 mmHg and on day 7 it reduced to 103.27±2.99mmHg which is highly significant.

# **CONCLUSION**

Labetalol and methyldopa are equally efficacious in controlling blood pressure in new onset hypertension in pregnancy. Labetalol significantly decreases proteinuria after treatment.

#### REFERENCES

- Abalos, E., Duley, L., Steyn, D. W., & Gialdini, C. (2018). Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. Cochrane database of systematic reviews, (10).
- Al-Damluji, S. F., Al-Khalidi, A., Shaarbaf, H., & Tawfiq, N. H. (1982). A controlled comparison of the antihypertensive effects of labetalol and alphamethyldopa. *Pharmatherapeutica*, 3(2), 133-139.
- 3. Duley, L., Meher, S., & Jones, L. (2013). Drugs for treatment of very high blood pressure during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic*

- Reviews, (7).
- 4. Steegers, E. A., Von Dadelszen, P., Duvekot, J. J., & Pijnenborg, R. (2010). Pre-eclampsia. *The Lancet*, 376(9741), 631-644.
- 5. Duckitt, K., & Harrington, D. (2005). Risk factors for pre-eclampsia at antenatal booking: systematic review of controlled studies. *Bmj*, *330*(7491), 565.
- 6. Qasim, A., Siddiqui, M. H., us Salam, J., & Nusrat, U. (2014). Labetalol versus methyldopa: efficacy in pregnancy induced hypertension. *Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences*, 12(4).
- ACOG Committee on Obstetric Practice. (2002). Practice bulletin# 33: diagnosis and management of preeclampsia and eclampsia. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 99(1), 159-167.
- 8. El- Qarmalawi, A. M., Morsy, A. H., Al- Fadly, A., Obeid, A., & Hashem, M. (1995). Labetalol vs. methyldopa in the treatment of pregnancy- induced hypertension. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 49(2), 125-130.
- 9. Wallin, J. D., Wilson, D., Winer, N., Maronde, R. F., Michelson, E. L., Langford, H., & Poland, M. (1983). Treatment of severe hypertension with labetalol compared with methyldopa and furosemide: Results of a long-term, double-blind, multicenter trial. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 75(4), 87-94.
- Gurjar, B.G., Malewar, S.S. (2019). Study of labetalol vs. methyldopa in treatment of pregnancy induced hypertension. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol, 8:2378-83.