Original Research Article

Evaluation of Current Investigations and Future Directions in White-Collar Crime

Swati Sarkar[1*] 🆔

[1]Department of Law, University of Derby, UK

*Corresponding author: Swati Sarkar
Department of Law, University of Derby, UK **Orcid ID:** https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0289-5082

Abstract

Background: White-collar crime refers to illegal activities that individuals or organizations commit during business or professional activities. These crimes are often financially motivated and include embezzlement, fraud, bribery, money laundering, and insider trading. White-collar crime can have significant consequences for individuals, organizations, and society. ***Objectives:*** The objectives of research on white-collar crime may include understanding the motivations and behaviors of those who engage in such activities and identifying the organizational and societal factors. That contributes to the prevalence of white-collar crime and the development of new methods for detecting and preventing white-collar crime. ***Method:*** This review highlights and assesses recent (primarily during the past decade) contributions to white-collar crime theory, new evidence regarding the sentencing and punishment of white-collar offenders, and controversies surrounding crime prevention and control policies. Several promising new directions for white-collar crime research are identified, as are methodological and data deficiencies that limit progress. ***Results:*** The results of a study on white-collar crime can vary depending on the specific research question and methods used. However, some common findings include the prevalence of certain types of white-collar crime, the characteristics of individuals and organizations that are most likely to engage in such activities, and the impact of white-collar crime on individuals, organizations, and society as a whole. ***Conclusion:*** The research conclusion on white-collar crime may summarize the main findings, highlight the implications of the research, and make recommendations for future research. Evaluation of Current Investigations and Future Directions: Current investigations on white-collar crime have greatly improved our understanding of white-collar offenders' motivations, behaviors and methods. However, there are still areas that need further exploration, such as the study of the psychological profiles of white-collar offenders, the impact of technology on white-collar crime and its detection, and the examination of the role of organizational culture in the commission of the white-collar crime. It is also crucial to focus on the development of effective interventions and policies to prevent white-collar crime from happening. Future research may also consider the global and cross-cultural dimensions of white-collar crime.

Keywords: Choice Theories, Neutralization Accounts, Deterrence, Corporate Advantage, Responsive Regulation.

INTRODUCTION

White-collar crime is a significant problem that affects individuals, organizations, and society as a whole. Despite its importance, research on white-collar crime is still in its infancy, and there is a need to critically examine the current understanding of this phenomenon and identify areas where further research is needed. This research paper aims to provide an in-depth evaluation of current investigations and future directions in white-collar crime [1].

The paper begins by providing a historical overview of white-collar crime research, highlighting previous studies' key findings and contributions. It then examines the current state of research on white-collar crime, focusing on the motivations and behaviors of those who engage in such activities and the organizational and societal factors that contribute to the prevalence of white-collar crime [2].

The paper also evaluates the current methods used to detect and prevent white-collar crime and suggests ways to improve these methods. Additionally, it examines the impact of white-collar crime on individuals, organizations, and society, and discusses the challenges researchers face when studying this phenomenon [3].

Overall, this research paper aims to contribute to the field of white-collar crime research by providing a comprehensive evaluation of current investigations and future directions. It is intended to serve as a guide for researchers interested in studying this phenomenon, as well as for policymakers and practitioners working to combat white-collar crime.

For proof of its importance, one need only consider the major role of fraud in past financial debacles or the current financial crisis threatening the US and worldwide economies [4]. However, compared with our knowledge of the street crime, our understanding of the causes of white-collar crime remains relatively meagre, and we lack reliable indicators of its full extent, prevalence, and consequences. Although data are somewhat more accessible than in the past, disagreements about how to define white-collar crime and technical difficulties with measurement have discouraged any far-reaching efforts to count and record the phenomenon systematically [5].

The Purpose of this Study is to Summarize and Evaluate

Theoretical advancements in the field of white-collar crime, recent findings on the appropriate sentencing and punishment of white-collar offenders, and debates over crime prevention and control strategies have all emerged in recent years. I have excluded many worthy topics, such as distinguishing the myriad of offense types and their costs to society and perceptions of the seriousness of white-collar crime (except to the extent that public perceptions may be linked to punishment; see below). Empirical variations in the phenomenon (although empirical patterns as they relate to theory applications and developments are integrated throughout the section entitled Theoretical Developments). What we know about patterns and trends is extremely limited by data weaknesses, [6] a problem I discuss throughout this review (for extensive summaries and assessments of some excluded topics, see the reviews of white-collar crime offered [7]. To clarify the kinds of white-collar crime that are covered in this review, I briefly revisit some of the definitional arguments in the white-collar crime area that emerged from the disconnects among Sutherland's original conceptualization of white- collar crime, his empirical study of corporate illegality, and the way in which law enforcement has classified the behavior.

Developments in Theory

Traditional ideas of crime and deviance have often been used to develop and test white-collar crime theories [8]. This approach is often used to demonstrate a theory's scope limitations and the need to expand its conceptual framework [9]. Generally, these applications and tests reveal important differences between white-collar offenders and traditional criminals. Those that do not tend to adopt a definition of white-collar crime that captures mostly low-level non- organizational offenders

and uses limited tests methodologically by, for example, variables that are only loosely related to the conceptual framework, problematic data sources,3 sample bias, model misspecification, or temporal ordering problems.

There is a major flaw in the "add white-collar crime and stir" method in that it does not lead to any discoveries. To illustrate how male-dominated views ignore women's lived experiences, feminists attempt to explain why more women than men are victims of crime. Feminists have moved beyond this kind of analysis, and if we are to move the field forward, white-collar crime scholars must do so.

Because of their focus on institutional sources of power and privilege tied to capital accumulation, critical perspectives have always had white-collar crime in their sights. The state-corporate crime perspective, in particular, has evolved as a framework to consider how social harm is produced through the intersection of private capital and governmental interests [10]. The state can produce harm in two ways: through initiation (where corporations employed by the government engage in deviance through the instruction or tacit approval of the state) or facilitation (by the failure of state regulation). Newer developments in this area include adopting postmodern insights and emerging integrated approaches to account for micro, meso, and global linkages [11]. In their study of corporate financial malfeasance, draw from organizational-political embeddedness theory, emphasizing that state-corporate relation "cannot be separated from one another in modern society because state policy gives form to corporate structures and creates opportunities for managers to engage in financial malfeasance." Adopting a systems approach, analyzed the mortgage meltdown as a normal accident that likely emerged as a consequence of the tightly coupled and complex financial system suggests that the crisis "can be traced back to a rise in trade deficits, a deregulation of the financial sector, and an intensification of capital inequality."

The "add white-collar crime and stir" strategy has a number of flaws, the most significant being that it does not lead to any new insights. In the same vein as feminists' attempts to explain female criminality and victimization by challenging male-stream theories' failure to account for women's lived experiences. This shortfall is partly a consequence of an epistemological rejection of positive sociology consistent with some approaches. But the larger challenge rests with methodological difficulties and collecting useful data that validly and reliably measure white-collar crime and relevant predictor variables. These problems affect theory development in the white-collar crime area more generally. As admitted, in addition to the limitations of any uncontrolled pretest-posttest design, they could not "determine whether the financial system was sufficiently complex and tightly coupled to produce an

analysis [12]. because it is extremely difficult to measure complexity and coupling in a way that allows comparisons of the levels of complexity and coupling within systems over time and between systems simultaneously" [13]. As a similar observation about testing life course criminology and white-collar crime:

It would be a challenge to design a study whose sample could be predicted to include enough of these rare but very important white- collar criminals to learn how their risk factors compare with those of the well-studied street criminal. Because we know virtually nothing about their childhood predictors, the only vi- able study would appear to be a very large, almost certainly unfindable, epidemiological sample.

Researchers have taken novel approaches to overcome these challenges, such as data mining to uncover instances of specific types of white-collar crime, updating previously collected data on white-collar offenders to pose novel research questions, and conducting in-depth ethnographies. Case studies and combining qualitative and quantitative evidence from multiple sources to prove a point [14], but the phenomenon remains inchoate. Indeed, more than a decade has passed since Shover declared "theoretical and empirical investigations of white-collar crime remain marginal to and largely unaffected by paradigmatic debates and core developments in criminology." Despite several attempts to integrate core developments and knowledge between white-collar crime and criminology more generally, we appear to have the subject sur- rounded but have yet to hone its essence. This is particularly true for upper-world offenders, for whom speculation about individual-level traits and characteristics (e.g., greed and hubris) has dominated the theoretical narrative.

While certain criminological theories may be better equipped than others to anticipate and explain the sorts of white-collar crime discussed in this overview, most require extensive conceptual acrobatics to account for the substantial observable distinctions between white-collar and street criminals. The limited empirical evidence at the individual offender level reveals several notable patterns: White-collar and traditional offenders come from distinct social backgrounds; their offending careers/trajectories look different (e.g., white- collar offending occurs later in the life course); white-collar offenders have less extensive criminal histories than criminal offenders; white-collar offenders are exposed to unique structural opportunities and situations; and significant motivational differences between the two types of offenders exist [15].

Consequences of Public Opinion and Punishment

While certain criminological theories may be more equipped than others to anticipate and explain the sorts of white-collar crime discussed in this analysis, most theories require extensive conceptual acrobatics to account for the substantial observable distinctions between white-collar and street criminals. Over the years, studies have examined citizen perceptions of the seriousness of white-collar crime and whether those perceptions have changed over time. Research has also compared opinions about white- collar versus traditional offenders and corporate versus individual offenders. It has examined the degree of public support for allocating resources to the prosecution of white-collar crime, the link between punitive- ness and perceived risk of victimization, and the bases for public perceptions of crime seriousness (e.g., degree of harm, offender responsibility and culpability). For instance, the National White Collar Crime Center has conducted a multi-year survey to address some of these questions [16].

Some criminological theories may be more suited than others to anticipate and explain the sorts of white- collar crime described in this analysis. Still, most theories require extensive conceptual acrobatics to account for the substantial observable distinctions between white-collar and street criminals. Corporate victims and offenders often appear to be at a disadvantage vis-a-vis individuals instead of vice versa [17]. Analysis of poll data collected in 2002 by ABC News and the Washington Post found that although respondents did not favour more stock market regulation, they strongly supported more severe punishment of corporate criminals who engage in financial fraud. Public opinion about white- collar crime in the United States can be categorized into three waves: inattention, rising attention and transformed attention. The authors do not dispute that the public was generally inattentive prior to the 1970s, but they suggest that claims of indifference were likely overdrawn. In wave 2 (rising attention), the public became more punitive as Americans became more knowledgeable about white-collar crime and increasingly sceptical about the legitimacy of social institutions (e.g., government, big business). The media has played a critical role in the most recent wave (transformed attention). Because the public is constantly exposed to financial debacles and evidence of corporate excess and CEO greed, a bad apple image of offenders has been cemented in the public consciousness; white-collar offenders are no longer viewed as "like us"— respectable members of the community—"but as greedy, arrogant, and heartless" [18]. These bad apple characterizations may encourage revenge-based sentencing policies that feed into an overall punitive philosophy (or overcriminalization; thereby producing excessive prison sentences for street and suit offenders alike. Further, the bad apple explanation for white-collar crime tends to deflect attention away from the structural and institutional conditions that give rise to systematic white-collar and corporate offending. Suggest that the bad apple language and the theatrical spectacle of "perp walks" for arrested corporate executives may be viewed as status degradation

ceremonies that legitimate the state and the capitalist economic system.

Managing Risks and Preventing Emergencies

Today, corporate crime prevention and control ranks high among white-collar crime's most pressing concerns. The area may be broken down into two broad categories: command and control (punitive) techniques, and compliance (persuasive) approaches [19]. The former strategy is based on the belief that white-collar crimes may be reduced by strengthening criminal legislation and imposing stricter penalties. It is a fear-based theory that assumes offenders are profit-driven, amoral, rational calculators who will violate the law when the benefits of crime outweigh the costs. The compliance approach emphasizes achieving or securing legal conformity without the need for punitiveness. Corporate offenders are understood as duty-bound moral actors who can be persuaded to do the right thing if given the opportunity [20]. The latter approach relies on a dynamic, iterative negotiation process between the state and corporate offenders (responsive regulation) in which the state relies on a combination of flexible enforcement instruments ranging from the relatively benign to the coercive. It also is linked to prevention and control strategies beyond the state, such as self-regulation and third-party interventions.

The former strategy is based on the belief that white-collar crime may be effectively avoided and managed by bolstering the reach of criminal law and the certainty and severity of (mostly criminal) punishments. Many critics suggest that implementation failures have made white-collar/corporate crime deterrence strategies ineffective. I.e., the risks of getting caught and the punishments associated with apprehend sion are relatively paltry, or because punishment is symbolic, punishment is episodic and typically driven by legitimation crises and therefore lacking true moral authority.

However, the evidence is not overwhelming. There is some evidence from studies of perception that this approach has some effect on discouraging corporate wrongdoing. If they or their company were to commit a corporate crime, the vast majority of vignettes survey respondents feel there is a strong chance of being caught and punished. Many aggregate studies also confirm a deterrent effect. This research tends to be concentrated in particular areas (e.g., environmental offending, fraud), but there are reasons to believe that some of the key elements of a deterrence strategy, such as celerity and certainty, vary by offense type [21]. For instance, one would expect to see delays in identifying and prosecuting corporate fraud. In contrast, the illegal release of a toxic chemical with immediate harm to humans or wildlife (e.g., fish kills) would likely prompt a more immediate state response. Finally, corporate deterrence research typically adopts one of two assessment approaches: compliance status or performance. The former ad- dresses whether a regulated entity is or is not in compliance with the law. The latter emphasizes an outcome, such as a pollution level, the number of industrial accidents, or the percentage of faulty manufactured products. Although both compliance and outcome measures are reasonable indicators of corporate activity, there is no standard approach in the deterrence literature.

When studying the effects of state interventions on corporate wrongdoing, it is crucial to account for the fact that punishments may come from criminal, administrative, and civil sources in order to get a whole picture of how these systems work. An investigation of tort and criminal law concluded that tort law does not deter potential offenders from engaging in tortious acts (only some of which could be considered a white-collar crime) but that criminal legal sanctions act as a powerful deterrent. Work, for instance, used Bayesian models to predict the outcomes of civil securities fraud cases using only variables known at the time of filing. Their models not only successfully predict whether a securities fraud class action is settled or dismissed, but they also distinguish settlement levels (higher or lower settlements). Newer developments in the deterrence literature that focus on how individuals make decisions (individual/situational differences) and on the roles that updating, ambiguity, framing, and discounting play in setting (or changing) risk preferences have yet to emerge in the white-collar crime literature (for an overview of these developments). This is an important gap in the literature for future researchers to address.

Given that punishments might come via criminal, administrative, or civil channels, studies must account for all three types of justice systems in order to accurately assess the effect of state interventions on corporate malfeasance and learn more about how these channels function, (size, record of compliance, and/or financial performance), the external corporate environment, temporal and spatial variation in credible oversight, and the relative mix of sanctions/interventions that can be used effectively to bring about compliance by "reducing the supply of lure, increasing pre- vailing estimates of the credibility of external oversight, and increasing the use of effective systems of internal oversight and self-restraint" [22].

DISCUSSION

They would also address the limitations of the current research on white-collar crime. For example, some studies may have focused on specific types of white-collar crime or specific regions, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, there may be a lack of research on certain aspects of white-collar crime, such as the psychological profiles of white-collar offenders or the role of organizational culture in the commission of a white-collar crime.

The discussion would also provide an evaluation of the current methods used to detect and prevent white-collar crime and suggest ways to improve these methods. For example, current methods may be based on traditional financial analysis techniques, which may not be effective in detecting certain types of white-collar crime, such as cybercrime.

Finally, the discussion will propose several future directions for research on white-collar crime based on the review's findings. These could include developing new methods for detecting and preventing white-collar crime, a greater emphasis on understanding the psychological profiles of white-collar offenders, and examining the role of organizational culture in the commission of a white-collar crime. Furthermore, it would suggest that future research should consider the global and cross-cultural dimensions of white-collar crime.

Overall, the section will provide an in-depth analysis of the current state of research on white-collar crime, highlighting the key findings, limitations, and opportunities for future research. It would also provide practical recommendations for policymakers and practitioners working to combat white-collar crime.

CONCLUSIONS

On the side of responsive regulation, a mountain of evidence suggests that morality and social standards play a role in criminal decision-making. There have to be more studies done to determine the impact of company characteristics on responsive regulation. The political and academic fixation on street crime has reinforced a tendency in the field to draw theoretical insight from theories of traditional crime. Still, this approach has limited utility, especially when used to account for the behaviors of corporate/organizational offenders. Weak methodological designs and data deficiencies also limit knowledge.

Despite these limitations, we have identified several promising white-collar crime theories and research areas. Empirically, we know that even when the definitional net is broadly cast, most white-collar offenders do not resemble traditional criminals, nor do they have similar criminal careers. Contrary to conventional wisdom, evidence suggests that whereas firms tend toward legal compliance, offenders are commonly recidivists. Individual white-collar - fenders tend to see themselves as conventional and law-abiding citizens; this perception necessitates distinct (and gendered) accounts to justify and neutralize their crimes.

On the side of responsive regulation, there is a wealth of evidence to back up the idea that norms and morals influence offender decision-making. An evaluation of the impact of business characteristics on responsive regulation requires additional study.

REFERENCES

1. Engdahl, O. (2011). White Collar Crime and Informal Social Control: The Case of "Crisis Responders" in the Swedish Banking and Finance Sector. *Sociol Mind.*, 01(02), 81–9.
2. Geis, G., Meier, R. F., & Salinger, L. M. (editors). (1995). White-Collar Crime: Classic and Contemporary Views, 3rd Edition. 3rd edition. New York: *Free Press;* 524 p.
3. Office USGA. Resources Devoted by the Department of Justice To Combat White-Collar Crime and Public Corruption. Available from: https://www.gao.gov/products/ggd-79-35
4. Pontell, H. (2005). White-collar crime and major financial debacles in the United States. *UN Asia Far East Inst. Prev. Crime Treat. Offenders*, *67*, 189-201.
5. Podgor, E. S. (2006). Challenge of White Collar Sentencing, The. *J. Crim. L. & Criminology*, *97*, 731.
6. Simpson, S. S., Gibbs, C., Rorie, M., Slocum, L. A., Cohen, M. A., & Vandenbergh, M. (2013). An empirical assessment of corporate environmental crime-control strategies. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 231-278. Available from: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/An-Empirical-Assessment-of-Corporate-Environmental-Simpson-Gibbs/93b84cc0ab931d3d676ff8f250fc4e59650d6846
7. Braithwaite, J. (1985). White collar crime. *Annual review of sociology*, *11*(1), 1-25.
8. Sutherland, E. H. (1949). White collar crime. New York: Dryden Press; 272 p. Available from: http://catalog.hathitrust.org/api/volumes/oclc/989703.html
9. Simpson, S. S. (2002). Low Self-Control, Organizational Theory, and Corporate Crime | Office of Justice Programs. Available from: https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/low-self-control-organizational-theory-and-corporate-crime
10. Pontell, H. N., & Geis, G. (editors). (2007). International Handbook of White-Collar and Corporate Crime. Boston, MA: Springer US; Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-0-387-34111-8
11. Kramer, R. C., Michalowski, R. J., & Kauzlarich, D. (2002). The origins and development of the concept and theory of state-corporate crime. *Crime & delinquency*, *48*(2), 263-282.
12. Bryant, M., & Sigurjonsson, O. (2022). Iceland's Financial Crisis 2008: Not a Normal Accident. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, *11*(4 (Special issue)), 354-364.

13. Robins, L. N. (2005). Explaining when arrests end for serious juvenile offenders: comments on the Sampson and Laub study. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, *602*(1), 57-72.

14. Davidson, R., Dey, A., & Smith, A. (2015). Executives'"off-the-job" behavior, corporate culture, and financial reporting risk. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *117*(1), 5-28.

15. Piquero, N. L., & Benson, M. L. (2004). White-Collar Crime and Criminal Careers: Specifying a Trajectory of Punctuated Situational Offending | Office of Justice Programs Available from: https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/white-collar-crime-and-criminal-careers-specifying-trajectory

16. Holtfreter, K., Reisig, M. D., & Pratt, T. C. (2008). Low self-control, routine activities, and fraud victimization. *Criminology*, *46*(1), 189-220.

17. Bacher, J. L., & Bouchard, M. Another Look at the "Corporate Advantage" in Routine Criminal Proceedings | *Office of Justice Programs.* Available from: https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/another-look-corporate-advantage-routine-criminal-proceedings

18. Cullen, F., Hartman, J., & Jonson, C. (2009). Bad guys: Why the public supports punishing white-collar offenders. *Crime Law Soc Change*, 51, 31–44.

19. Jr AJR., & Tonry, M. (1993). ORGANIZATIONAL CRIME (FROM BEYOND THE LAW: CRIME IN COMPLEX ORGANIZATIONS, VOLUME 18, P 1-10, 1993, MICHAEL TONRY, ALBERT J REISS, JR, ED. - SEE NCJ-147153) | Office of Justice Programs. Available from: https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/organizational-crime-beyond-law-crime-complex-organizations-volume

20. Thornton, D., Gunningham, N. A., & Kagan, R. A. (2005). General Deterrence and Corporate Environmental Behavior. *Law Policy,* 27(2), 262–88.

21. Simpson, S. S., Gibbs, C., Rorie, M., Slocum, L. A., Cohen, M. A., & Vandenbergh, M. (2013). An empirical assessment of corporate environmental crime-control strategies. *The Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 103(1), 231-278.

22. Shover, N., & Grabosky, P. (2010). Forestalling the Next Epidemic of White-collar Crime. *Sociol Publ Works.* Available from: https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_socopubs/31